

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMAPER CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

v.

ANTEC, INC., BEST BUY CO., INC.,
AND MICRO ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1103

Honorable Petrese B. Tucker

PLAINTIFF COMAPER CORPORATION'S MOTION TO STRIKE

Plaintiff, Comaper Corporation, by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby moves this Court to strike Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) of Order Denying Enhanced Damages on December 31, 2008 (Docket No. 130).

Plaintiff filed its Motion for Reconsideration on December 31, 2008, Defendants filed their Opposition on January 14, 2009, and the Court denied Plaintiff's Motion on January 21, 2009. Further, the case is currently on appeal in the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. Now, almost seven months after the issue was decided, Defendants have decided to file yet another Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration.

The Court should strike this second filing as being moot and/or untimely.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 13, 2009

/s/Stephen J. Driscoll
Stephen J. Driscoll
Saul Ewing, LLP
1500 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19102-2186
Telephone: (215) 972-7872
Attorneys for Plaintiff
COMAPER CORPORATION

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

COMAPER CORPORATION

Plaintiff,

v.

ANTEC, INC., BEST BUY CO., INC.,
AND MICRO ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendants.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-1103

Honorable Petrese B. Tucker

ORDER

It is hereby ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) of Order Denying Enhanced Damages on December 31, 2008 (Docket No. 130) is GRANTED.

Dated: _____

Honorable Petrese B. Tucker, USDJ